

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,662	11/11/2005	Dickory Rudduck	PA024	2293
57346 7590 07/02/2009 TELEZYGOLOGY, INC.			EXAMINER	
520 W. ERIE STREET, SUITE 210 CHICAGO, IL 60654			TAOUSAKIS, ALEXANDER P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/556.662 RUDDUCK ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ALEXANDER P. TAOUSAKIS 3726 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 May 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.4.6.9-20 and 22-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3, 5, 7-8, 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/22/2006

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1, 3, 5, 7-8 and 21 in the reply filed on 05/18/2009 is acknowledged.

## Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Also, a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

It is unclear what Applicant is specifically claiming, a general reference to a figure does not constitute an explicit form of claiming an invention. It is unclear which Figure claim 21 is referring to, and what specific aspects are being claimed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/556,662

Art Unit: 3726

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

# Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-8 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walt, II et al (7,062,831).

1, 21. Walt, II et al teach a method for assembling a component in an assembly, the method including the steps of:

positioning the component in the assembly in accordance with a proposed position in the assembly (see column 3 lines 1-17);

sensing that the component is in its correct special position in the assembly by use of sensing means capable of sensing spatial relationship and/or connection of the component in relation to the assembly (see column 3 lines 10-17); and communicating information regarding the sensed spatial relationship and/or connection to information processing means (see Figure 1 and column 5 lines 42-48).

3. Walt, II et al teach a method for assembling a component in an assembly in a chosen sequence, the method including the steps of:

selecting or identifying the component (see column 5 lines 39-54);

Art Unit: 3726

comparing the selected of identified component with information which correlates that component with the sequence of assembly, positioning the component in the assembly in accordance with the sequence of assembly (see column 6 lines 5-23); sensing that the component is in its correct spatial position in the assembly by use of sensing means (60) capable of sensing spatial relationship and/or connections of the component in relation to the assembly (see column 6 lines 5-23; and communicating information regarding the sensed spatial relationship and/or connection to the information processing means (50) (see column 6 lines 5-23).

- 5. Walt, II et al teach the method of claim 3, which includes the further step of halting the sequence of assembly unless the information communicated to the information processing means verifies that the component is in its correct spatial position (see column 6 lines 5-23).
- 7. Walt, II et al teach the method of any preceding claim, which includes the further step of displaying information communicated to the information processing means on information display means 54 (see column 5 lines 28-48).
- 8. Walt, II et al teach the method of any preceding claim, wherein the information processing means (50) is a computer (see column 6 lines 5-15, and note that the controller 50 constitutes a computer because it processes information from the sensor 60).

Application/Control Number: 10/556,662

Art Unit: 3726

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEXANDER P. TAOUSAKIS whose telephone number is (571)272-3497. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alexander P Taousakis Examiner Art Unit 3726

/Alexander P Taousakis/ Examiner, Art Unit 3726

/DAVID P. BRYANT/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726